

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/027,384	WHITE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephan F. Willett	2142	

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephan F. Willett.

(2) John Adair.

**Status of Application: Allow**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 October 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:** 30 October 2006

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Final rejection dated 10.5/05.*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Flom*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*The applicant is reminded of the obligation under MPEP 713.04 to summarize all substantive interviews.*

*Andrew Caldwell*

FW CALDWELL

EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Based on our previous interview, I called the representative to discuss their amendments and how I interpreted them in more detail. Specifically, I commented on the "based on ... local preference" and how its reads on the Flom reference. Also, I discussed how the template relates to the DGLSC and how it could read on the DGLSC, thus clarity and further narrowing would further prosecution. Based on the foregoing, the representative emailed me the attached amendment.